

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

RUDOLF SHTEYNBERG,

Plaintiff

v.

VON GOLDBERG,

Defendant

Case No.: 2:19-cv-01824-APG-BNW

**Order Accepting Report and  
Recommendation and Dismissing Case**

[ECF No. 4]

On December 2, 2019, Magistrate Judge Weksler recommended that I dismiss this case because plaintiff Rudolf Shteynberg has not complied with court orders. ECF No. 4. Shteynberg did not object. Thus, I am not obligated to conduct a de novo review of the report and recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring district courts to “make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings to which objection is made”); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (“the district judge must review the magistrate judge’s findings and recommendations de novo *if objection is made*, but not otherwise” (emphasis in original)).

I THEREFORE ORDER that Magistrate Judge Weksler’s report and recommendation (ECF No. 4) is accepted and plaintiff Rudolf Shteynberg’s complaint (ECF No. 1-1) is DISMISSED without prejudice. The clerk of court is instructed to close this case.

DATED this 18th day of December, 2019.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
ANDREW P. GORDON  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE